


Course: POS3713-0002.su25: POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH

1 - The course materials he	lped me un	derstand th	ne subject	matter												
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	F	Percent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3		60.00%					4.60		4.62	4.48			
Agree		(4)	2		40.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1								l		
		•		•		0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	University	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	D	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		175		4.62		0.66	5.00		26777	4.48	0.6	30	5.00

2 - The work required of m	e was appro	priate base	d on cour	se obje	ctives.											
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	F	Percent Re	es	ponses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3		60.00%					4.60		4.70	4.51			
Agree		(4)	2	!	40.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0	1	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	S	TD	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		175		4.70	T	0.57	5.00		26643	4.51	0	.77	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. a	ccurately n	neasured w	hat I learn	ed in t	his course.											
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	F	ercent R	es	oonses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	3	60.00%					4.60	_	4.62	4.45			
Agree		(4)	2	2	40.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Universit	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Med	lian
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		173		4.62		0.63	5.00		26626	4.45	0.84	5.0	00






Course: POS3713-0002.su25: POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH

5 - I learned a great deal in	this course															
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	F	Percent R	esp	onses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	3	60.00%					4.60		4.60	4.45			
Agree		(4)	2	2	40.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1										
		•				0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	0	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STE	)	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		173		4.60		0.65	5.00		26527	4.45	0.84		5.00


6 - James Stewart provide	d clear expe	ctations for	the course	e												
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	Pe	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3		60.00%					4.60		4.68	4.57			
Agree		(4)	2		40.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1										
		•				0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	Universit	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Dep	artment		Mean	Т	STD	Median		University	Mean	STI	)	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		173		4.68		0.63	5.00		27328	4.57	0.70	6	5.00

7 - James Stewart commun	icated effe	ctively											
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency Percei	nt	Percent R	esp	onses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	60.009	6				4.60	4.63	4.53	1	
Agree		(4)	2	40.009	6								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	,								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	,								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	,								
			'			0 25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Departmen	t	Mean	$I^-$	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00	175		4.63		0.68	5.00	27318	4.53	0.83	5.00





Course: POS3713-0002.su25: POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH




10 - James Stewart demons	trated resp	ect for stu	dents													
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	F	Percent R	esį	onses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	3	60.00%					4.40		4.78	4.66	1		
Agree		(4)	1	I	20.00%											
Neutral		(3)	1	I	20.00%											
Disagree		(2)	(	)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	C	)	0.00%	1										
		·	•			0	25	50	100	Question	า	Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.40	0.89	5.00		172		4.78		0.59	5.00		27225	4.66	0.6	9	5.00

11 - James Stewart demo	nstrated mas	stery of the	subject mat	tter								
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ncy Percent	Percent	Resp	onses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	60.00%				4.60	4.76	4.65	1	
Agree		(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	]							
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]							
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	<b>1</b> 1							
		•	•		0 25	50	100	Question	n Department	Universit	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean		STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00	173	4.76		0.61	5.00	27183	4.65	0.69	5.00

12 - Overall course content	rating.													
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequenc	y Percent	Pe	rcent Re	espo	nses			Me	ans		
Excellent		(5)	3	60.00%					4.60	_	4.47	4.33		
Above Satisfactory		(4)	2	40.00%										
Satisfactory		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1								l	
			•		0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Universit	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
5/5 (100.00%)	4.60	0.55	5.00	174		4.47		0.78	5.00		26527	4.33	0.93	5.00



Course: POS3713-0002.su25: POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH



14 - What is your year in school?										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent Re	espon	ises	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
Sophomore	(2)	2	40.00%							
Junior	(3)	2	40.00%							
Senior	(4)	1	20.00%		l					
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
	•			0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse	Rate					
			5/5	(100.0	0%)					

15 - What is your cumulative GPA	?				
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	es Means
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I	
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	20.00%		
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	20.00%		
3.0-3.49	(4)	1	20.00%		
3.5-4.0	(5)	2	40.00%		
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1	
	•			0 25 50 10	00
			Res	ponse Rate	
			5/5	(100.00%)	

16 - What grade do you expect	to receive in this cou	rse?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	ercent F	lespor	nses	Me	ans	
Α	(1)	3	60.00%							
В	(2)	2	40.00%							
С	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
	•			0	25	50	100			
			Res	ons	e Rate					
			5/5	(100.	00%)					



Course: POS3713-0002.su25: POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 5/5 (100.00 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Ме	ans	
Yes	(1)	4	80.00%								
No	(2)	1	20.00%								
				0	25	50	100				
			Res	oonse	Rate						
			5/5	(100.0	00%)						

18 - What aspects of the course and/o	or James Stewart's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples
Response Rate	3/5 (60%)

- Homework assignments and tests are easy to follow along with and clearly reflect what we learn in the lectures. Additionally, the semester-long paper assignment gave freedom to write about what we wanted while having straightforward expectations.
- The R labs were especially helpful
- I like the necessary participation in each class as it keeps me engaged in the material.


19 - What aspects of the course and/o	or James Stewart's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples
Response Rate	2/5 (40%)
could go more into depth instead of rushing	over a couple of topics


• More example could be demonstrated for each topic rather than reliance on students creating topics in class.

20 - Please list additional comments	and/or suggestions.
Response Rate	1/5 (20%)
• N/a	




Course: CPO3930-0001.sp25: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS






3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	curately m	easured wh	nat I learned	d in this course.							
Response Option	Weigl	nt Freque	ncy Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	80.00%			4.60	4.55	4.38		
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Neutral		(3)	1	20.00%							
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]						
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	]						
					0 25	50 100	Questio	n Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.60	0.89	5.00	1081	4.55	0.73	5.00	72992	4.38	0.91	5.00






Course: CPO3930-0001.sp25: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS



6 - James Stewart provide	d clear expe	ctations for	the course	9										
Response Option		Weigl	nt Freque	ency Percent	Pe	ercent R	espo	onses			Mea	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	80.00%					4.80		4.65	4.53	1	
Agree		(4)	1	20.00%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
		•			0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.80	0.45	5.00	1082		4.65		0.67	5.00		74980	4.53	0.82	5.00

7 - James Stewart commun	icated effec	tively										
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	Percent I	Respons	es		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	80.00%				4.80	4.62	4.47		
Agree		(4)	1	20.00%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	]							
			•	•	0 25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	ST	)	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.80	0.45	5.00	1079	4.62	0.7	2	5.00	74975	4.47	0.89	5.00





**Course:** CPO3930-0001.sp25: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

9 - James Stewart provided	- James Stewart provided helpful feedback on my work															
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	P	Percent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	- 4	1	80.00%					4.80		4.44	4.33			
Agree		(4)	1	1	20.00%											
Neutral		(3)	C	)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	C	)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	C	)	0.00%	1								l		
		•	•			0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Universit	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean	Т	STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.80	0.45	5.00		1079		4.44		0.89	5.00		74798	4.33	0.9	9	5.00


10 - James Stewart demons	strated resp	ect for stu	dents												
Response Option		Weig	ıht Frequ	ency	Percent	Per	rcent R	espo	nses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	3	60.00%					4.60		4.72	4.62	1	
Agree		(4)	2	2	40.00%										
Neutral		(3)	0	)	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	)	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1									
						0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Dep	artment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.60	0.55	5.00		1077		4.72		0.59	5.00		74776	4.62	0.75	5.00

11 - James Stewart demons	trated maste	ry of the s	ubject matte	r							
Response Option	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means										
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	80.00%			4.80	4.69	4.63	1	
Agree		(4)	1	20.00%				_			
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
					0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/6 (83.33%)	4.80	0.45	5.00	1073	4.69	0.63	5.00	74729	4.63	0.73	5.00

12 - Overall course content	rating.														
Response Option	ency F	Percent	Percer	t Re	spor	nses			Me	ans					
Excellent		(5)	2	: (	50.00%					4.25	_	4.41	4.27		
Above Satisfactory		(4)	1	2	25.00%										
Satisfactory		(3)	1	2	25.00%										
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0	)	0.00%										
Poor		(1)	0	)	0.00%										
				•		0 25		50	100	Question	1	Department	Universit	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depa	artment	Mea	ın	S	TD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
4/6 (66.67%)	4.25	0.96	4.50	1	1071	4.4	1	0	.87	5.00		72713	4.27	0.98	5.00



Course: CPO3930-0001.sp25: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS



14 - What is your year in schoo	1?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	0	0.00%	I			
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%	]			
Junior	(3)	4	80.00%				
Senior	(4)	1	20.00%				
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	]			
				0 25 50 100			
			Res	ponse Rate			
_	•		5/6	(83.33%)	•		

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I	
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	20.00%		
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	]	
3.0-3.49	(4)	1	20.00%		
3.5-4.0	(5)	3	60.00%		
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	]	
				0 25 50 100	
			Res	ponse Rate	
			5/6	(83.33%)	

(1) (2)	5	Percent 100.00%	Pe	rcent F	tespor	ises		Me	eans	
· · /	-	100.00%								
(2)										
(-)	0	0.00%	1							
(3)	0	0.00%	1							
(4)	0	0.00%	1							
(5)	0	0.00%	1							
(6)	0	0.00%	1							
			0	25	50	100				
		Res	onse	Rate						
	(4)	(4) 0 (5) 0	(4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 0 0.00%	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   Response	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   (7) 50	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   (7) 100   (7)	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   0 25 50 100   Response Rate	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   (7) 100   (7)	(4) 0 0.00%   (5) 0 0.00%   (6) 0 0.00%   Response Rate



Course: CPO3930-0001.sp25: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 5/6 (83.33 %)

17 - Is this a required course fo	or you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	2	40.00%							
No	(2)	3	60.00%							
	·									
				0	25	50	100			
			Resp	oonse	Rate					
			5/6	(83.3	3%)					

### 18 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

- real life examples that engage with the readings. as well as mr. stewart's explanations of the readings and current events.
- Professor Stewart is very intelligent in the course material and is very passionate about teaching; This helps so much with diving into the material and shows immense care about furthering the understanding of the topics relating to the course material. He knows a lot of examples that relate to the readings or theories, so if we need him to explain more he is able to use another reading or study to further explain the concept. He also knows incredible resources for researching, such as zotero and research rabbit. I have never heard of any applications similar to these, they have been very helpful, and not only with this course. The quizzes are actually helpful in my opinion, they help with accountability and keeping up with the schedule for the class. This is my first semester at FSU and I am very grateful to have taken this professor.
- Mr. Stewart was very interactive and spent a great deal of time challenging students to think critically and engage with concepts.

#### 19 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 4/6 (66.67%)

- I would say just be more mindful of the course material because it was a lot of material along with my other classes ALOT OF READING. Overall, it was an amazing course, and I recommend taking his class.
- n/a
- I haven't taken the exam yet so I am not sure if there is a study guide, just like a list of topics to study that covers the course, but that would be really helpful. I feel like this course covers a lot of different topics, authors, and theories which most relate or intertwine with each other, so it may be helpful to have a list of the topics to focus in on.
- The analysis of studies and statistics were interesting and helpful, but I would have liked to study more examples of the radical right rather than concepts of radical right support. However, my very small criticism is about balance, not content. I think the content was very helpful but I would have liked to have more emphasis on examples across Europe.


#### 20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 1/6 (16.67%

• loved this class! it taught me a lot of new things on politics and how to be a political scientist.




Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS




2 - The work required of me	was appro	priate base	d on cour	se obj	ectives.											
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	F	Percent R	es	onses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	3	61.54%					4.46		4.57	4.42			
Agree		(4)	4	ļ	30.77%											
Neutral		(3)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	1		7.69%		l									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1										
			•			0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	Universit	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean	Т	STD	Median		University	Mean	S1	ΓD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.46	0.88	5.00		1383		4.57		0.69	5.00		87524	4.42	0.8	84	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	ccurately m	easured w	hat I learn	ed in thi	is course.										
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	Percent	Re	spon	ses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	,	53.85%					4.38		4.50	4.32		
Agree		(4)	4		30.77%										
Neutral		(3)	2	2	15.38%										
Disagree		(2)	0	)	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1									
		•	•	·		0 25		50	100	Question	1	Department	University	,	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	partment	Mea	1	ST	ΓD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.38	0.77	5.00		1383	4.50		0.7	77	5.00		87515	4.32	0.95	5.00






Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS




6 - James Stewart provide	d clear expe	ctations for	the cours	se												
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	F	Percent Ro	esį	ponses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	7		53.85%					4.54	_	4.62	4.47			
Agree		(4)	6		46.15%											
Neutral		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1										
				•		0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	partment		Mean	Γ	STD	Median		University	Mean	S	TD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.54	0.52	5.00		1378		4.62	T	0.69	5.00		90088	4.47	0.	.86	5.00

7 - James Stewart commun	icated effe	ctively												
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency Percent	Perce	ent Re	spons	ses			Mea	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	5	38.46%					4.38		4.57	4.42	1	
Agree		(4)	8	61.54%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1					l				
		'			0 2	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	<i>'</i>	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Me	ean	ST	D	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.38	0.51	4.00	1377	4.	.57	0.7	7	5.00		90115	4.42	0.94	5.00





Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS




10 - James Stewart demon	strated resp	ect for stud	lents												
Response Option		Weigl	nt Freque	ency Percent		Percent R	esį	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	10	76.92%	ı				4.77	П	4.68	4.59			
Agree		(4)	3	23.08%											
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1										
		•	•		0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STE	)	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.77	0.44	5.00	1378		4.68		0.64	5.00		89858	4.59	0.77	,	5.00

11 - James Stewart demo	nstrated ma	stery of the	subject ma	tter									
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency Percent	Perce	ent Re	esponses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	13	100.00%				5.00		4.70	4.59		
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1								
		<u> </u>	'	'	0 :	25	50 10	) Questi	on	Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	М	lean	STD	Median	U	niversity	Mean	STD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	1371	4	1.70	0.62	5.00		89830	4.59	0.76	5.00

12 - Overall course content	rating.														
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency Percent		Percent R	es	ponses			Mea	ans			
Excellent		(5)	9	69.23%	T				4.54	_	4.40	4.20			
Above Satisfactory		(4)	2	15.38%	٦ı										
Satisfactory		(3)	2	15.38%	Ī										
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1										
					0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	Г	STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.54	0.78	5.00	1369		4.40	Τ	0.84	5.00		87057	4.20	1.0	2	5.00



Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS



14 - What is your year in school	1?							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respo	nses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
Sophomore	(2)	2	15.38%					
Junior	(3)	7	53.85%					
Senior	(4)	4	30.77%					
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	]				
	•			0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
			13/1	6 (81.25%)				

15 - What is your cumulative GF	A?							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	ses	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	]				
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	7.69%					
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	38.46%					
3.5-4.0	(5)	7	53.85%					
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1				
	·			0 25 50	100			
			Res	ponse Rate				
			13/1	6 (81.25%)				

16 - What grade do you expect	to receive in this cou	rse?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	lespor	nses	N	eans	
А	(1)	6	46.15%							
В	(2)	6	46.15%							
С	(3)	0	0.00%							
D	(4)	1	7.69%							
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse	Rate					
			13/1	6 (81.	25%)					



Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 13/16 (81.25 %)

17 - Is this a required course for	or you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent R	espo	nses	Ме	ans	
Yes	(1)	5	38.46%							
No	(2)	8	61.54%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Resp	onse Ra		30	100			
			13/1	6 (81.25°	%)					

### 18 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

- I found the readings to be extremely valuable for learning
- · Break down of the lesson each day and consistency
- The in-class activities used to provide us with real time examples of what the author's of our readings were trying to convey.
- Mr. Stewart took very different academic papers and, throughout the course, connected different issues to one another. Moreover, he took broader concepts (i.e. what does this person say are the aspects of what makes up a far right party) and kept re-enforcing them throughout the course to solidify the information in my brain.
- The five-minute break kept myself stimulated in the class, as someone with attention issues I found the quick breaks a nice way to regroup and refocus on the material.
- I enjoyed his explanations of the academic articles because it made them more comprehensible. I also enjoyed our group discussions of what we read because it gave us a chance to interact with one another and discuss what we had read. There were also times when we did a class activity/game that was related to the concepts we had read about and it really improved my comprehension of the material.
- · fabulous

#### 19 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

#### Response Rate

7/16 (43.75%)

- Though the readings were intellectually stimulating, I believe reducing the quantity from three readings per week to two and changing the reading quiz format from pop quiz to scheduled quiz would allow for more thorough comprehension. I also believe that adding at least some PowerPoint lecture content separate from readings would be beneficial.
- Shorter essays
- Get comfortable with talking in front of a class of students as well as projection. The content was great and the manner of delivery was great, but there were times where I wasn't exactly sure what you meant at first until you talked directly at us.
- I think Mr. Stewart did an above satisfactory job at instructing this course. However, I think reading quizzes were very narrow and hype focused on that day's reading. Expanding them to incorporate materials and concepts from other readings would be helpful. (i.e., instead of having a reading quiz on just one reading, expanding them to cover two or even three readings may help this issue. Further, it may help with ensuring students do ALL the readings instead of trying to guess what day there would be a quiz on.)
- Honestly, the class is structured well and I did not find any room for improvement. The only suggestion I could make is extending the amount of time per class meeting. I often found us going over time a lot because there is a lot of material to unpack.
- I think we could have had more lectures. I am an auditory learner so I generally prefer more lectures to readings and I think that if some of the readings were turned into lectures it would be beneficial. I liked talking with a group about the readings but it is clear that the professor knows the most about the subject and I found myself wishing to hear more from him during this semester. Lectures would also help students know exactly what we should know/remember from the course. There were times when a question would be asked to the class and no one would raise their hand to answer it or people would give the wrong answer, which isn't necessarily a huge problem as it only happened a couple of times, but I feel if we were being told the most important bits of information in a structured lecture it would help with overall class comprehension of the material. Having the majority of the readings being written by academics for academics makes it a little difficult as an undergrad to remember the most important and fundamental concepts of the course which is why I think a combination of both lecture and reading would ensure the class's comprehension of the material while also exposing us to academic literature.
- · nothing i can think of

#### 20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 4/16 (25%)

- N/A
- Mr. Stewart is very intelligent. I think going forward he will be an exceptional scholar!
- N/A
- I think we could have had a little more exposure to the radical right parties/electorate themselves because it's one thing to say a party has far-right beliefs but its another to know exactly how such individuals go about expressing those beliefs. We definitely were shown material like that during this course but I think we could have looked at and discussed it more, especially in discussions about supply and demand side explanations for far-right electoral success. This sort of material could replace some readings and allow the class to have a better understanding of the core elements of far-right parties (authoritarianism, nativism, populism, etc.).




Course: CPO3930-0001.fa24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 13/16 (81.25 %)

### 21 - Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: The Instructor included a five-minute break (almost) every class meeting. This break made it easier to focus on the material in class.

Response Option		Weig	ıht Frequ	iency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Disagree		(1)		1	7.69%					4.00		4.00	4.00			
Disagree		(2)	, (	)	0.00%					1.00						
Neither Agree nor Disagree		(3)	, ;	3	23.08%											
Agree		(4)	, ;	3	23.08%											
Strongly Agree		(5)		3	46.15%						ı					
						0	25	50	100	Question	ı	Department	Universi	ty		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	I	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	S1	ΓD	Median
13/16 (81.25%)	4.00	1.22	4.00		13		4.00		1.22	4.00		13	4.00	1.3	22	4.00




### 23 - What readings did you learn the most from? The least?


**Response Rate** 5/16 (31.25%)

- I learned most from the readings that were easily generalized.
- I really liked the chapters from Mudde. Mudde provided an easy and digestable way of learning about far-right politics in our modern age. Particularly the ways the campaign and what their rhetoric means.
- The Far Right Today (Mudde 2009) and Posner (2004)
- I learned the most from the Norris and Inglehardt chapters. The reading I learned the least from was the reading that included the meta-analysis.
- Most: Wealth of Tongues. Least: The Radical Right Gender Gap




Course: CPO3930-0002.su24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS







3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	curately m	easured wh	at I learned	in this course.							
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	66.67%			4.67	4.47	4.41		
Agree		(4)	1	33.33%							
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	]			_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	]						
					0 25	50 100	Questio	n Department	University	У	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00	227	4.47	0.77	5.00	26563	4.41	0.87	5.00






Course: CPO3930-0002.su24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS





7 - James Stewart communi	cated effect	vely												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent Re	espoi	nses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	66.67%					4.33		4.55	4.49	1	
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Neutral		(3)	1	33.33%										
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
					0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	ı	Mean	S	TD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.33	1.15	5.00	229		4.55	0	.73	5.00		27257	4.49	0.87	5.00





Course: CPO3930-0002.su24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

9 - James Stewart provided	helpful fee	dback on m	y work											
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequer	ncy Percent	Perce	nt Re	spo	nses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	66.67%					4.67	_	4.39	4.34		
Agree		(4)	1	33.33%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	]								l	
		•	•		0 2	5	50	100	Question	1	Department	Universit	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Me	an		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00	229	4.	39	(	).87	5.00		27196	4.34	0.97	5.00


10 - James Stewart demon	strated resp	ect for stud	lents													
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	F	Percent Re	esį	ponses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	2		66.67%					4.67		4.77	4.63			
Agree		(4)	1		33.33%											
Neutral		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	า	Department	University	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	epartment		Mean	Γ	STD	Median		University	Mean	S	TD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00		226		4.77	T	0.52	5.00		27136	4.63	0.	.71	5.00

11 - James Stewart demons	trated mast	ery of the s	ubject mat	tter							
Response Option		Weigh	nt Freque	ncy Percent	Percent R	esponses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	66.67%			4.67	4.70	4.62	1	
Agree		(4)	1	33.33%							
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
					0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00	228	4.70	0.59	5.00	27150	4.62	0.72	5.00

12 - Overall course content	rating.													
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans		
Excellent		(5)	2	66.67%					4.33		4.40	4.29		
Above Satisfactory		(4)	0	0.00%										
Satisfactory		(3)	1	33.33%										
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
					0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	,	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
3/6 (50.00%)	4.33	1.15	5.00	229		4.40		0.81	5.00		26447	4.29	0.95	5.00



Course: CPO3930-0002.su24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS



14 - What is your year in schoo	1?							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respon	ses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%	]				
Junior	(3)	2	66.67%					
Senior	(4)	1	33.33%					
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	]				
	•			0 25 50	100			
			Res	ponse Rate				
			3/6	(50.00%)				

15 - What is your cumulative GF	A?							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Response	es	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	]				
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	]				
3.0-3.49	(4)	0	0.00%	1				
3.5-4.0	(5)	2	66.67%					
Not Applicable	(6)	1	33.33%					
				0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
·			3/6	(50.00%)	·			

to receive in this cou	rse?									
Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	lespor	nses		Me	eans	
(1)	2	66.67%								
(2)	0	0.00%	1							
(3)	0	0.00%	1							
(4)	0	0.00%	1							
(5)	0	0.00%	1							
(6)	1	33.33%								
•			0	25	50	100				
		Res	ponse	Rate						
	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	(1) 2 (2) 0 (3) 0 (4) 0 (5) 0	Weight         Frequency         Percent           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent R           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Response           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Responses           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Responses           (1)         2         66.67%           (2)         0         0.00%           (3)         0         0.00%           (4)         0         0.00%           (5)         0         0.00%           (6)         1         33.33%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Responses         Me           (1)         2         66.67%	Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Responses         Means           (1)         2         66.67%                     (2)         0         0.00%                     (3)         0         0.00%                     (4)         0         0.00%                     (5)         0         0.00%                     (6)         1         33.33%



Course: CPO3930-0002.su24: CPO SPECIAL TOPICS

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 3/6 (50.00 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Ме	ans	
Yes	(1)	1	33.33%								
No	(2)	2	66.67%								
	·										
				0	25	50	100				
			Res	oonse	Rate						
			3/6	(50.0	0%)						

18 - What aspects of the course and/o	or James Stewart's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples
Response Rate	1/6 (16.67%)
The group-discussion style of lectures helpe	ad me to understand the social science much better.


19 - What aspects of the course and/o	or James Stewart's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples
Response Rate	1/6 (16.67%)
I think that for a summer class, it wasn't doa	able for me to do more than one reading per day without struggling to get everything else done from other classes. If reading is required to participate in


• I think that for a summer class, it wasn't doable for me to do more than one reading per day without struggling to get everything else done from other classes. If reading is required to participate in class, it might be best to limit it.

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.								
Response Rate	1/6 (16.67%)							
• I loved the games we played in class!								




Course: CPO2002-0001.su23: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT







3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.												
Response Option		Weigl	nt Frequen	cy Percent	Percent Re	esponses	Means					
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	33.33%			4.22	4.54	4.38			
Agree		(4)	5	55.56%								
Neutral		(3)	1	11.11%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]							
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	]							
	0 25 50 100 Question Department University					/						
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
9/11 (81.82%)	4.22	0.67	4.00	282	4.54	0.70	5.00	26442	4.38	0.88	5.00	






Course: CPO2002-0001.su23: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT







7 - James Stewart communicated effectively												
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequen	cy Percent	Percent	Respon	ises	Means				
Strongly Agree		(5)	6	66.67%				4.22	4.61	4.48		
Agree		(4)	1	11.11%								
Neutral		(3)	1	11.11%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1				_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	1	11.11%								
					0 25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	S	TD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
9/11 (81.82%)	4.22	1.39	5.00	281	4.61	0	.71	5.00	26714	4.48	0.87	5.00







Course: CPO2002-0001.su23: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT












Course: CPO2002-0001.su23: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT



14 - What is your year in school?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Me	ans				
1st year	(1)	1	11.11%								
Sophomore	(2)	2	22.22%								
Junior	(3)	5	55.56%								
Senior	(4)	1	11.11%								
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	I							
	·			0 25 50 100							
			Res	ponse Rate							
	•		9/1	1 (81.82%)	•						

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Response	s	Me	ans				
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I							
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%								
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	]							
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	55.56%								
3.5-4.0	(5)	4	44.44%								
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1							
	•			0 25 50 1	00						
			Res	ponse Rate							
			9/1	1 (81.82%)							

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent Re	espon	ses	Means			
А	(1)	7	77.78%								
В	(2)	1	11.11%								
С	(3)	0	0.00%								
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1							
Not Applicable	(6)	1	11.11%								
				0	25	50	100				
			Res	oonse	Rate						
			9/1	1 (81.8	2%)						



Course: CPO2002-0001.su23: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT

Instructor: James Stewart \*
Response Rate: 9/11 (81.82 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent I	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	7	77.78%								
No	(2)	2	22.22%								
				0	25	50	100		Ī	1	
			Res	ponse			100				
			9/1	1 (81.8	2%)						

### 18 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

- · He is very clear and concise and caring
- · gives a lot of real life examples
- · Prof. Stewart made a constant effort to engage with the class by asking us questions throughout lectures.
- When he began going slower on the PowerPoints, I found that understanding the material went better. I understand that this was a six week course but when we would rush through the PowerPoints and have twenty minutes left of class, I felt as though we didn't need to go as fast. Professor Stewart definitely did better at going slower after the first two weeks of class so that was appreciated.
- · He is always open to questions and very enthusiastic about the topic/teaching. He made me want to learn more about the topic and come to class.
- Professor Stewart would actively ask the class to participate throughout by asking us about certain topics before or during the lessons. He asked us to pull from what we already knew and gathered from the weekly readings to engage actively throughout the course. He also managed to make the class continue without it being awkward when nobody would speak. He took care in what students were struggling on and actively made an effort throughout the entire semester to improve what students felt could make the course even better and I felt that it ended up reflecting on the class as a whole by the end of the semester, as the class had easily become one of my favorites.

#### 19 - What aspects of the course and/or James Stewart's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 6/11 (54.55%)

- Nothing at all
- Go over the homework on Mondays.
- I think moving slower through the course material could help improve learning, but I understand the extreme limitations of a 6 week course
- If he could help make connections between the book and the PowerPoints, I feel as though that would help when it comes to studying outside the classroom.
- N/A
- Not many. Professor Stewart demonstrated phenomenal instructional ability and made the class engaging and fun. He worked with students on what they were struggling with and was always available to assist in any areas of confusion.

#### 20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 4/11 (36.36%)

- n/a
- Professor Stewart is the kind of professor where it is obvious that he understands the material and that he understands what he is telling us but at times, it was difficult to understand what he was explaining because we did not have the background that he did in the subject matter. He definitely knows what he is talking about but he didn't do a great job at relaying the information to us. Sometimes it felt as though he was just reading off the PowerPoints and when someone would ask a question he would reference how the book does a great job at explaining it but he himself wouldn't explain it. He would just say to look in the book. While the book may do a good job at explaining it, the chapters are very long and it is difficult to know what the book is explaining at times because of the words that the book would use. All in all, professor Stewart demonstrated his mastery of the material but it was hard to learn the material from him. He did the best he could with being a first time teacher and we all understood that there would be a learning curve when it comes to teaching so I am not sure how high our expectations were. While I would not recommend a friend to take a class taught by him nor would I want to take another class taught by him, having him teach CPO 2002 to me was not as bad as I expected it to be.
- Great teacher, cares about his students. Great class, very interesting.l enjoyed it !
- Nothing I loved the class. :)